

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSEPH MULL,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOTHAM DISTRIBUTING
CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION
NO. 24-6083

ORDER

AND NOW, this 4th day of March, 2025, upon consideration of the parties' Stipulation and Proposed Order Further Extending Defendants' Time to Respond to Complaint (ECF 15), it is hereby **ORDERED** that the request is **DENIED**.¹

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Gerald J. Pappert
Gerald J. Pappert, J.

¹ See Section II.B. of the Court's Policies and Procedures. Settlement negotiations do not constitute a compelling reason for an extension.
(<http://www.paed.uscourts.gov/documents/procedures/pappol.pdf>)